Docket No. 0630-1847P

Appl. No. 10/665,527 Art Unit: 3742

Page 4 of 7

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the very thorough consideration given

the present application.

Claims 7-10 are now present in this application. Claims 7 and 10 are

independent. Claims 1-6 have been canceled and claim 10 has been amended

by being re-written in independent form.

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully

requested.

PTO-892 Notice of References Cited

Applicants continue to note that the Examiner has not listed the Gold

reference GB 2280829 on the form PTO-892. The Examiner is again requested

to make this reference of record by listing it on a PTO-892 in the next Office

Action. This reference was not listed on the PTO-892 mailed with the October

27, 2004 Office Action, contrary to the assertion on the Examiner-initialed copy

of the PTO-1449 attached to the outstanding office Action, that the reference was

listed on the PTO-892 mailed with the Office Action of October 27, 2004.

Entry of Amendments

Appl. No. 10/665,527 Art Unit: 3742

Page 5 of 7

Applicants respectfully submit that it is proper to enter the claim

amendments set forth above because they place the Application in condition for

allowance. The Advisory Action clearly states that claims 7-9 are allowed and

that claim 10 contains allowable subject matter but it objected to for being a

dependent claim. This Supplemental Amendment cancels claims 1-6 and re-

writes claim 10 in independent form, leaving only allowed claims 7-10 and

allowable claim 10 in the Application.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 2 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being

anticipated by Choi, U.S. Patent D463,200 (hereinafter referred to as "Choi").

Further, claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated

by Pitstick et al., U.S. Patent No. D242,421 (hereinafter referred to as "Pitstick").

These rejections are respectfully traversed as moot in view of the cancellation of

claims 1, 2 and 6, above.

**Additional Cited References** 

Because the remaining references cited by the Examiner have not been

utilized to reject the claims, but have merely been cited to show the state of the

art, no comment need be made with respect thereto.

Docket No. 0630-1847P

Appl. No. 10/665,527 Art Unit: 3742 Page 6 of 7

## CONCLUSION

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone Robert J. Webster, Registration No. 46,472, at (703) 205-8000, in the Washington, D.C. area.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested, including citation of the Gold reference (GB 2280829) on a Form PTO-892...

Pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.136(a), Applicants respectfully petitions for a one (1) month extension of time for filing a response in connection with the present application. The required fee of \$120.00 is attached hereto.

Supplemental Reply to March 1, 2005 Office Action Docket No. 0630-1847P

Appl. No. 10/665,527 Art Unit: 3742

Page 7 of 7

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

James T. Eller, Jr.

Reg. No.: 39,538

JTE/RJW:gf P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone: (703)205-8000